

People and the PURSUIT of Truth

Vol. 2, No. 7

November, 1976

CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>Pages</u>
Appraising the Book "Appointment in Dallas" by Hugh C. McDonald / by Richard H. Bennett, Dick Hamilton, Hugh C. McDonald and Richard E. Sprague		2, 3, 8
Progress and News / by David Williams		1
A Mathematical Look at the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy - Part 2 / by Jeffery Hoyle		4, 8
John Rosselli: His Murder and Its Implications - Part 2 / by Jim Kostman and David Williams		5, 8
The Assassination of President John F. Kennedy: The Application of Computers to the Photographic Evidence - Excerpt / by Richard E. Sprague		6, 7

PROGRESS AND NEWS

David Williams
Associate Editor of "Pursuit"
Assassination Information Bureau
63 Inman St.
Cambridge, Mass. 02139

November 2, 1976 - Richard A. Sprague, former Assistant District Attorney of Philadelphia from 1966 to 1974, has begun his work as the Acting Counsel and Director for the House Select Committee on Assassinations. Mr. Sprague earned a national reputation while serving as Special Prosecutor for Washington County, Pennsylvania, in the prosecution of the Yablonski murder cases. He also served as Special Assistant to the U.S. Attorney General for the federal prosecution of United Mine Workers Union president W.A. "Tony" Boyle. Boyle was convicted for the conspiracy to murder his opponent for the office of U.M.W. president, Joseph Yablonski.

Mr. Sprague is interviewing prospective staff members for the new Committee. It is anticipated that, subject to the approval of the new Congress in January, the com-

mittee will be able to have more than 150 people serving on the staff.

The committee is taking precautions to screen prospective staff members and avoid choosing those with any intelligence agency connections. Yet one of the seemingly unavoidable ironies is that staff members must be subjected to routine security clearances that are carried out by the very agencies, such as the FBI, that have been implicated in the cover-up of the Kennedy assassination.

The work already commenced by the staff members already hired consists largely of preserving testimony, that is, seeking all relevant materials and documents from federal agencies and the police departments of Dallas and Memphis, as well as statements from important witnesses.

The approach being taken by Mr. Sprague is that he is dealing with two unsolved homicide cases and he maintains that he begins his work with no preconceived notions. It is expected that the investigations will proceed simultaneously, with at least 8 months needed to complete the King probe and two years for the Kennedy investigation. ☐

Editor: Edmund C. Berkeley, Berkeley Enterprises, Inc.
Associate Editors: Richard E. Sprague, Researcher
David Williams, Assassination Information Bureau,
63 Inman St., Cambridge, Ma. 02139

This magazine is devoted to:

- facts, information, truth, and unanswered questions that are important to people, widely suppressed, and not adequately covered in the usual American press; and also to
- solutions to great problems that are functioning well in some countries or places, yet are almost never talked about in the usual American press.

People and the PURSUIT of Truth is published monthly 12 issues a year by Berkeley Enterprises, Inc., 815 Washington St., Newtonville, Mass. 02160. Printed in U.S.A.

Subscription rates: U.S.A., \$9.50 for one year, \$18.00 for two years - except for students (send evidence): \$6.00 for one year, \$11.00 for two years. Canada, add \$1.00 per year; elsewhere add \$3.00 per year.

© Copyright 1976 by Berkeley Enterprises, Inc.

Change of address: If your address changes, please send us both your new address and your old address (as it appears on the magazine address imprint), and allow three weeks for the change to be made.

FIRST CLASS MAIL

To:

From: Berkeley Enterprises, Inc.
815 Washington St.
Newtonville, Mass. 02160

Appraising the Book "Appointment in Dallas" by

Hugh C. McDonald

by *Richard H. Bennett, Jr., Issaquah, Washington*

Dick Hamilton, Issaquah, Washington

Hugh C. McDonald

Richard E. Sprague, Hartsdale, N.Y.

"In an interview with 'The News Mill,' Rick Bennett, President of Hagoth Corporation ... announced the offer of a \$10,000 reward to the first person, or group, to prove Hugh C. McDonald to be a fraud."

1. From: *Richard H. Bennett, Jr., President*
July 4, 1976
Hagoth Corporation (maker of a new voice -
stress analysis device)
12350 208th Place Southeast
Issaquah, Wash. 98027

In the book "Appointment in Dallas" (Zebra, 1975), Hugh C. McDonald claims to have tracked down and interviewed the man who really shot President Kennedy. According to McDonald, that man is still living. There is substantial evidence that McDonald's account of the real assassination plot is authentic.

On June 29, 1976, I conducted a telephone interview with McDonald and, without his knowledge or permission, subjected his story to a thorough voice stress study. The device used in the voice stress analysis was the HAGOTH HS/1 Scanner, a new product from my company. A complete transcript with analysis is available on request.

Mr. McDonald makes at least one very disturbing revelation. To date, he has never been contacted by any of the Attorney General, the FBI, the CIA, the Senate, or any other agency of the Federal Government. His account of the successful assassination of the President of the United States has sold over one million copies. Yet not one federal agency has seen fit to seek McDonald's help and testimony. At its best, this is unprecedented negligence. At its worst, this is evidence of total disinterest by the Federal government in the facts — and worse besides.

I am convinced McDonald's is an authentic account. I have offered \$10,000 to the person who can prove that McDonald's account is a fraud.

McDonald has unusual credentials: He holds the patents on Identi-kit, a composite photograph system used by police all over the world to identify criminals from descriptions given by witnesses to crimes. He was selected by Goldwater (and cleared by the Secret Service) to handle Republican security during the 1964 presidential campaign. He was chief of detectives of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Dept. when he retired. He has written several textbooks on criminology and has taught at several universities. He was a contract agent with the CIA and responsible for publicizing Russian germ warfare in a book "The Hour of the Blue Fox."

2. By *Dick Hamilton, Reporter*
"The News Mill," July 4, 1976
Issaquah, Wash. 98027

In an interview with "The News Mill," Rick Bennett, President of Hagoth Corporation (manufacturer

of the Hagoth Scanner — a voice activated lie detector) announced the offer of a \$10,000 reward to the first person, or group, to prove Hugh C. McDonald to be a fraud. (McDonald is the author of "Appointment in Dallas" — a recent best-seller that claims to have identified the real assassin of John F. Kennedy.)

Ironically, Bennett's offer stems from his own frustrated attempts to prove McDonald to be a fraud. Bennett interviewed McDonald on June 29. The interview, conducted by telephone, was tape recorded and subsequently subjected to analysis by the Hagoth Scanner. Bennett hoped to prove the book to be a fictitious concoction — and thereby receive publicity for his firm's lie detector. Bennett, instead, has convinced himself of the absolute authenticity of McDonald's reporting.

According to Bennett, "The impact of the realization that McDonald is totally truthful, and competent as well ... is enormous. When a man writes a book in which he offers significant evidence which might lead to capture of the murderer of the President of the United States, why was he not even questioned by any official representative of the United States government? Bennett further explains that publicity for his device is now secondary. "The credibility of the device is no longer in question. Even McDonald is familiar with the first several generations of these devices — and of their reliability. But, what is important now is what we can learn from the use of the Scanner. Or, I guess I should say what we have already learned. When a book such as "Appointment in Dallas" sells over a million copies, and when the author's statements can be validated, and when the authorities don't even bother to check him out, when they don't even ask about his evidence about the killing of the President of the United States, then this is, at the least, unprecedented incompetence. At its worst, it could be ten times worse than Watergate."

When asked about the reward, Bennett replied, "I really hope that people try to claim it. When they do, they will have to start digging. And when they do that, they will have to come to the same conclusions that I have. And when that happens, it won't just be me asking the government what's going on." Bennett was asked why he is taking such an unqualified stand on this issue — particularly when, in the past, he has been less than willing to make a flat statement relative to the truthfulness of some statements or stories. Bennett replied, "In this case, McDonald is truly an honest man. When he exaggerates, he catches himself and adds the appropriate qualifiers to his statements. McDonald is an honest man. His story is true. Beyond that I have gathered more experience with the use of the scanner.

My confidence in the unit's reliability is now at 100%. In fact, if the unit had said that McDonald was a fraud, I was prepared to risk a lawsuit in order to say so."

3. Transcript (abridged) of interview by telephone between Richard H. Bennett, Jr., (RHB) and Hugh C. McDonald (MCD), author of "Appointment in Dallas," on June 29, 1976

RHB: ... since the book, "Appointment in Dallas," has there ever been an attempt by Saul to contact you again?

MCD: No. But I wouldn't think there would be. I believe, of course, that Saul is alive, and I believe that the Central Intelligence Agency probably knows where he is. They surely know who he is. And I would guess they know where he is.

RHB: I would be surprised if they didn't.

MCD: So would I.

RHB: Have you ever been asked to testify before any of the Senate committees?

MCD: No, I have not been. I have offered to all across the nation. You see the book sold over a million copies.

RHB: Well, it's probably going to sell a lot more then if it's reprinted.

MCD: Yes and I was in some seventeen cities on sixty-three major shows and on each one of them I offered and asked for the privilege of testifying in front of the Committee, and I have heard nothing.

RHB: And you have had no contact from any authorities?

MCD: No. No authority would contact me. I can understand that. I think that the authorities involved are not anxious to have the Saul story come out. But it's coming out.

RHB: At any rate, you do not feel there is any sign or evidence to lead you to believe that someone was setting you up or putting you on then?

MCD: No, I have nothing to indicate that at all. The person who would have done that, the start of that, would have been Kimsey.

RHB: Um hum.

MCD: If his talk to me at Dealey Plaza when I was with Goldwater was a setup, I can't imagine what his point would have been. And there's where it would have had to have started.

RHB: OK and then, when you got the story from Saul, the recollection that you went up to the hotel room and showed him the transcript then was absolutely correct?

MCD: That's correct. There's no question. The other thing is, the problem was only reiterating what Herman (Kimsey) had told me. He simply confirmed it.

RHB: OK, so in my mind, that really eliminates that possibility that you were set up, which then, of course, the other possibility is that you had either fabricated this or imagined it. And with your credentials, I guess we'll just have to let that stand on the record.

MCD: Someone has to believe someone, you know. And you stop to consider with everybody involved in the Warren Commission, I suspect that the tendency to believe me is far more justified than almost anyone else in the investigation.

RHB: That's absolutely true.

MCD: So, hell, one of the things that bothers me is the nerve of any politician, any politician in Washington today questioning either my motives or truthfulness -- there's a real problem there.

RHB: Oh, absolutely. Especially, when they haven't gone to the trouble to corroborate your story and go into some depth with you. That, in my

mind, is unforgiveable. And I think you ought to play it up in the next print of the book.

MCD: Yes.

RHB: That the book sold a million copies and yet you haven't heard from No. 1. So from your own lips then, you're telling me that this is truth. Right?

MCD: Of course, it's true. That is, the truth of Saul's story, I cannot attest to.

RHB: That's correct. But the ...

MCD: But of those circumstances taking me to Saul and my interview with him are all true.

4. From: Richard H. Bennett, Jr., President
October 31, 1976
Hagoth Corporation
12350 208th Place Southeast
Issaquah, Wash. 98027

There were some interesting developments after July 4, 1976 when the report in "The New Mill" was published.

In July I was talking with a friend who has a relative (whom I shall call John Jones), an ex-Air Force Intelligence officer who had been on duty in Hawaii in 1970 or 1971. During Jones' military assignment there, he and his staff had seen and read a Central Intelligence Agency document which had the code name "Click Beetle." This document contained a discussion of why the CIA had had President Kennedy killed, and how John McCone had issued the order, and what the position and policy of the CIA should be in the event that these facts became known. I talked with Jones, and he said McDonald's small piece of the puzzle was accurate. But Jones did not want to discuss the subject with me at all, he said, because as a result of knowledge of this document several of his friends had died or had been completely discredited.

In early September I gave a radio talk on my voice stress analysis of the telephone interview with Hugh McDonald. The stress analyzer device showed stress only when McDonald replied to my question, "Do you believe it possible that John McCone issued the order to kill President Kennedy?" At that point, he showed a lot of stress, denying it firmly. But the stress indicator indicated that McDonald did believe it possible that John McCone did give such an order, and that McDonald was lying.

A few days after my broadcast, I received a call from a friend of mine in Chicago (where I used to live) who was an ex-CIA agent. I was surprised to hear from him; three months had passed since I last talked with him. He began by asking how business was, and I told him, and I mentioned my radio talk on the validity of the reporting in the book "Appointment in Dallas." He said "If you know what is good for you, you will drop the matter. You are playing a very dangerous game."

This warning astonished me. So on September 14, I telephoned John McCone, who spends about a month a year in Seattle, Washington (Issaquah is a suburb of Seattle), and asked him if he had any comments. He heard what I said, was upset, and denied knowing who McDonald was. So I wrote Mr. McCone a letter enclosing McDonald's book "Appointment in Dallas" and referring to "Click Beetle." I asked to talk with him and sent the letter by certified mail. I received a reply from Mr. McCone saying he would be willing to see me in Los Angeles, but he "would not discuss the subject you mention."

A little later in September, I received a letter from George Bush, present head of the Central Intel-
(please turn to page 8)

Using individual frames of the Z-film (from Life Magazine, Paris Match, and the Warren Exhibits) he was able to estimate the position of the men in the car. (It should be noted that there were over 20 photographers in the Plaza that day located on both sides of the motorcade.)

A travel line was drawn tangent to the curved route. The intersection of the travel line with the flightpath provided the basis for measuring the angles. (Afterward the flightpath was transferred to the detail of the limousine and the position of the men.)

The Commission determined that JFK was struck between Z-210 and Z-225. Cutler tested five Z-frames Z-186, Z-198, Z-210, Z-225, and Z-234. The angle from the earliest frame to the last was 17° to 7° from right to left. The average measure of the angle was 11°, and in each of the tests the flightpath failed to provide for a wound on Connally's right side, near his right arm pit. As a matter of fact all the flightpaths passed on Connally's left side or missed him altogether. /17/

Summary and Conclusions

What can one conclude from the information presented in this article?

1. We can conclude from the rifle tests that, on the average, experts cannot do what Oswald allegedly did.
2. We can conclude from the stair race that if Oswald was the assassin he may well have arrived on the second floor after Officer Baker.
3. We can conclude from the work of Robert Cutler that the single bullet theory is mathematically improbable to say the least.

Footnotes

- /1/ Warren, Earl et al, Hearings Before the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1964, Volume V, p. 160
- /2/ Warren, Earl et al, Report of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1964, p. 105
- /3/ Epstein, Edward J., Inquest, Viking Press, 1966, p. 142
- /4/ Epstein, Ibid, p. 143
- /5/ Ibid, p. 143
- /6/ White, Stephen, Should We Now Believe the Warren Report?, MacMillan Company, 1968, p. 225
- /7/ Thompson, Josiah, Six Seconds in Dallas, Bernard Geis Associates, 1967, p. 294
- /8/ Roffman, Howard, Presumed Guilty, Associated University Press, 1975, p. 63-4
- /9/ Cutler, Robert, The Umbrella Man, Betts and Mirror Press, Danvers Ma, 1975, p. 45, 98
- /10/ Warren Report, p. 182
- /11/ Welsh, David, and David Lifton, "The Case for Three Assassins," Ramparts, January 1967, p. 78
- /12/ "A Matter of Reasonable Doubt," Life, November 25, 1966
- /13/ Model, F. Peter, and Robert J. Groden, JFK: The Case for Conspiracy, Manor Books, Inc. 1976, p. 147
- /14/ Warren Report, Hearings, Volume IV, p. 128
- /15/ Thompson, op. cit., p. 71
- /16/ Ibid, p. 210
- /17/ Cutler, op. cit., p. 37-44

ligence Agency; the letter said that a search of the Agency's records had failed to locate any document called "Click Beetle."

5. From: Richard E. Sprague, October 31, 1976
Hartsdale, NY 10530

I saw the book by Hugh McDonald, "a pointment in Dallas," before it was printed in 1975. He brought it to the office of the Committee to Investigate Assassinations in Washington about 1973, seeking help to get it published. There were several copies of the manuscript there for several months. A number of the researchers examined it, including Bud Fensterwald, Bob Smith, Paris Flammonde, Fletcher Prouty, myself, and others.

In the original book McDonald said Saul fired from the second floor of the County Records Building in Dealey Plaza. The trees, walls, etc., in Dealey Plaza make that impossible. In the final book he does not mention the floor of the County Records Building from which Saul is supposed to have fired. In the original book, he says he met Saul in Spain. In the final book he says he met Saul in London. In the original book, he said he made Saul confess by holding a pistol to his head. In the final book there is nothing like that. In the original book he says he tracked down Saul by the use of photographs, and there is no mention of the CIA agent Kimsey. In the book as published, the tracking down by photographs is not mentioned and Kimsey plays a leading role.

These changes (and probably others) cast considerable doubt for me on Hugh McDonald's reporting. □

Kostman and Williams - Continued from page 5

CIA assassination plots. The story of AM/Lash — the agent who, according to the new and more sophisticated "Castro did it" scenario, betrayed a plot in late 1963 to Castro and supposedly triggered Castro's act of retaliation against JFK — was taken straight from the 1967 Inspector General's Report.

The "Castro Did It" Theory is False

The "Castro did it" story is false. It ignores the evidence about the relationship between Kennedy and Castro in 1963. Kennedy was cracking down on CIA-Cuban exile raids, Kennedy was at the same time actively negotiating a reconciliation with Castro. It ignores the distribution by Lee Harvey Oswald of the "Fair Play for Cuba" leaflets in New Orleans. And more besides.

And so, the "Castro did it" theory is not only false, but also represents a new official cover-up story, a successor to the "lone-assassin" cover-up. In 1976, as in 1967, it is a diversion, designed to derail the real investigation.

References

The Final Report of the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities, U.S. Senate; Vol. V, "The Investigation of the Assassination of President John Kennedy: Performance of the Intelligence Agencies."

"Washington Post," March 7, 1976.

"Washington Post," August 22, 1976.

"New York Times," August 9, 10, 14, 1974.

"Detroit News," June 27, 1976.

"Las Vegas Sun," March 1, 1976. □